

as of 1/31/21 - page 1 of 11

LAW/REGULATION	Impact	Rules Citation	Effective Date	Comment/Summary
FINAL RULES AND AS	SOCIATED	ACTIONS:		
CFPB – HMDA	Moderate	Final Rule 85 FR 28364 5/12/20	7/1/20, for increase in closed end threshold 1/1/22, for permanent open-end threshold	Finalizes aspects of the May 2019 proposed rule. It permanently raises the closed-end coverage threshold from 25 to 100 closed-end mortgage loans in each of the two preceding calendar years (exempting an additional 1,700 Fls from reporting compared to 2019). The final rule also clarifies that institutions have the option to report closed-end data collected in 2020 if they: (1) meet the definition of financial institution as of January 1, 2020 but are newly excluded on July 1, 2020 by the increase in the closed-end threshold, and (2) report closed-end data for the full calendar year. The final rule sets the permanent open-end threshold at 200 open-end lines of credit effective January 1, 2022, upon expiration of the temporary threshold of 500 open-end lines of credit. As an aside, the regulatory thresholds for partial exemptions from reporting certain data fields under 1003.3(d) remain unchanged.
CFPB - Determining "Underserved" Areas Using HMDA Data for TILA	Minor	Interpretive Rule <u>85 FR 38299</u> <u>6/26/20</u>	Effective 6/26/20	The Bureau previously interpreted how HMDA data would be used to determine which areas are "underserved" and thus meet exemption standards from the requirement to establish an escrow account for a higher-priced mortgage loans and the ability to originate balloon-payment QMs. The method was set forth in the commentary to Reg Z, however changes to HMDA regulations that became effective in 2018 proved this method obsolete because they rely on data elements that have been modified or eliminated. This interpretation supersedes the outdated methodology and will change the Reg Z commentary to count first lien originations from HMDA data, <i>except</i> for the following: construction method of 'site built', open end lines, reverse mortgages, loans made for business or commercial purpose, and loans where all applicants' ethnicity, race, sex, and age are all reported as NA.
GSEs - Regulation B/ECOA	Moderate	Update 8/13/19 Update 10/23/19 Updates 12/18/19 and 4/14/20	Optional Use 1/1/21 Mandatory Use 3/1/21	The GSEs have published the updated and redesigned the Uniform Residential Loan Application (URLA), which reflects revisions announced in August 2019 at the direction of the FHFA. The redesign includes the removal of the language preference question. The GSEs, indicate they will publish an interactive (fillable) PDF version of the redesigned URLA in early 2020. On January 29, 2020 the GSEs published their updated and interactive version of the redesigned URLA, here . The GSEs have published the revised implementation timeline for the redesigned URLA and updated automated underwriting systems (AUS). Due to the coronavirus pandemic, on 4/14/2020, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac extended the implementation timeline: the mandatory date is now March 1, 2021, however, with optional use on January 1, 2021.
CFPB - TILA: Screening and Training Requirements for MLOs with Temporary Authority	Minor	84 FR 63791 11/19/19	11/24/19	This rule addresses Reg Z §1026.36(f)(3) requirement that a loan originator (LO) organization who employs an individual LO who is not licensed pursuant to the SAFE Act to: (1) Complete certain screenings of that individual prior to the individual acting as a LO on a consumer credit transaction secured by a dwelling, and (2) provide periodic training. The CFPB's FAQS to the SAFE Act were updated to reflect the amendments made effective the same date by the EGRRCPA.
FRB and FDIC - Annual CRA Threshold	Minor	85 FR 83747 12/23/20	1/1/21	Small banks are those with total assets <\$1.322 billion (was \$1.305 billion) as of 12/31/19 or 12/31/20; intermediate small banks had total assets >=\$330 million (was \$326 million) as of 12/31/19 and 12/31/20, and less than \$1.322 billion as of as of 12/31/19 or 12/31/20
CFPB, Fed, and OCC- Annual Threshold Updates for 2021	Minor	1)85 FR 79394 2)85FR 79385 3)85 FR 83409 4)85 FR 83411	1/1/21	REGULATORY THRESHOLD: (1) TILA application is \$58,300 (stayed the same); (2) exemption for appraisals on HPMLs is \$27,200 (stayed the same) (3) new HMDA asset size exemption threshold is \$48 million (up from \$47 million in 2020); (4) "Small Creditor" threshold for purposes of the exemption to establish escrow accounts for HPMLs is \$2.230 billion at 12/31/20 (increase from \$2.202 billion).
FCC – Proposes amendments to TCPA regulations	TBD	Proposed 85 FR 64091 10/9/20	Comments due 10/26/20	As it could affect financial institutions (FIs): Previously the FCC exempted calls made by FIs (related to time sensitive fraud/emergency) subject to certain conditions (<u>Declaratory Ruling and Order, October 9, 2015</u>). The exemption's conditions include limitations on the class of calling parties (financial institutions), the class of called parties (customers of the financial institution), and the number of calls (no more than three calls per event over a three-day period for each affected account). The Commission seeks comment on these views and whether the exemption remains in the public interest.



as of 1/31/21 - page 2 of 11

LAW/REGULATION	Impact	Rules Citation	Effective Date	Comment/Summary
FINAL RULES AND AS	SOCIATED	ACTIONS:		
CFPB - Higher-Priced Mortgage Loan Escrow Exemption (Reg Z)	Minor	Final FR 86 9840 2/17/21	2/17/21	Following the July 2020 proposed rule, this final rule amends Reg Z, substantially as proposed to exempt certain insured institutions and CUs from the requirement to establish escrow accounts for certain higher-priced mortgage loans (HPMLs). New §1026.35(b)(2)(vi) exempts from the current HPML escrow requirement any loan made and secured by a first lien on the principal dwelling of a consumer if (1) the institution has assets of ≤\$10 billion in assets (adjusted annually); (2) the institution and its affiliates originated ≤1,000 loans secured by a first lien on a principal dwelling during the preceding calendar year; and (3) certain of the existing HPML escrow exemption criteria are met which are: (a) During the preceding calendar year, or, if the application for the transaction was received before April 1 of the current calendar year, during either of the two preceding calendar years, the creditor extended a covered transaction, secured by a first lien on a property that is located in a rural or underserved area; and, (b) Neither the creditor nor its affiliate maintains an escrow account for any extension of consumer credit secured by real property or a dwelling that the creditor or its affiliate currently services, other than those established for first-lien HPMLs for which applications were received on or after 4/1/10, and before 120 days (was 90 days in proposal) after final rule publication in the federal register, or those established after consummation as an accommodation to distressed consumers to assist such consumers in avoiding default or foreclosure.
CFPB - Regulations to Implement the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (FDCPA)	Moderate	85 FR 76734 11/30/20	Effective 11/30/21	The final rule is significantly revised from the May 2019 proposal, overhauling, and expanding Reg F (12 CFR 1006). Importantly, the rule is not expanded to apply to first-party debt collectors who are not FDCPA debt collectors and does not clarify whether certain actions taken by a first-party debt collector would constitute an unfair, deceptive, or abusive practice. The rule: (1) Clarifies restrictions on the times and places a debt collector may communicate with a consumer. For purposes of determining the timing of an electronic communication, the communication occurs when the debt collector sends it, not when the consumer receives or views it. Clarifies a consumer need not use specific words to assert a time or place is inconvenient (must stop communications to at place/time); (2) Clarifies that a consumer may restrict the media a debt collector communicates by, designating a particular medium, such as email, as one that cannot be used for debt collection communications; (3) Clarifies that a debt collector is presumed to violate the FDCPA's prohibition on repeated or continuous telephone calls if the debt collector places a call to a person >7 times within a seven-day period or within 7 days after engaging in a telephone conversation with the person. A debt collector is presumed to comply with that prohibition if the debt collector places a telephone call not in excess of either of those telephone call frequencies. The rule also provides non-exhaustive lists of factors that may be used to rebut the presumption of compliance or of a violation; (4) Clarifies that newer technologies, such as emails and text messages, may be used in debt collection, with certain limitations (all emails and text messages must include a reasonable and simple method of opt out, and E-SIGN applies to disclosures required to be in writing). The rule provides that a debt collector may obtain a safe harbor from civil liability for an unintentional third-party disclosure if procedures detailed in \$1006.6(di)(3-5) are followed; and (5)



as of 1/31/21 - page 3 of 11

LAW/REGULATION	Impact	Rules Citation	Effective Date	Comment/Summary
FINAL RULES AND AS	SOCIATED	ACTIONS:		
		86 FR 5766 1/19/21	Effective 11/30/21	Following the March 2020 supplemental proposed rule, this final rule clarifies the information that a debt collector must provide to a consumer at the outset of debt collection communications and provides an optional safe harbor model validation notice containing such information. The final rule also addresses passive collections (i.e., the practice of furnishing information about a debt to a consumer reporting agency before communicating with the consumer about the debt) and the collection of debt that is beyond the statute of limitations (i.e., time-barred debt). For passive collections, (except for furnishing information about check writing history), the debt collector must either: (1) Speak to the consumer about the debt in person or by telephone, or (2) mail or send an electronic message to the consumer about the debt and wait a reasonable period of time (14 consecutive days is the established safe harbor) to receive a notice of undeliverability. The final rule provides a safe harbor for compliance with these disclosure requirements for debt collectors who use the model validation notice or certain variations of the notice. Additionally, the final rule interprets the definition of consumer under the FDCPA to include deceased natural persons and, relatedly, provides that, if a debt collector knows or should know that the consumer is deceased, the debt collector must provide that information to a person who is authorized to act on behalf of the deceased consumer's estate. Regarding time barred debt, the final rule prohibits a debt collector from bringing or threatening to bring a legal action against a consumer to collect a time-barred debt. This is different from the proposal which had originally included a clause "only if the debt collector knew or should have known the debt was time barred" and instead finalizes a strict liability standard (although prohibitions do not apply to proofs of claim filed in connection with a bankruptcy proceeding). However, the Bureau is not finalizing the proposed time-barred
CFPB and FRB - Regulation CC, Availability of Funds and Collection of Checks	Moderate	Final Rule 84 FR 31687 7/3/19 Correction 84 FR 45403 8/29/19	New territories effective 9/3/19, all other changes effective 7/1/20	As proposed, based on CPI-W measured inflation, the CFPB and the FRB will adjust the amounts stated in the EFA and Reg CC in 2020, and every fifth year thereafter. As per Reg CC's change in terms provision, FIs must send a written notice to consumer account holders at least 30 days after implementation. The first set of adjustments is: 1) next day availability amount of \$225; 2) the amount that must be available for withdrawals by cash or other means (second business day) of \$450; and, 3) new account and exception hold amounts on amounts over \$5,525. EGRRCPA amendments extend coverage to FIs within American Samoa, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, and Guam (effective 9/3/19).
CFPB Annual Threshold Updates for CARD, HOEPA, and ATR/QM	Minor	85 FR 50944 8/19/20	1/1/21	CARD Act: 1) No change to the minimum interest charge threshold requiring disclosure of charge above \$1.00. 2) For open-end consumer credit plans subject to the CARD Act, no change to the amount in 2021 for the safe harbor for a first violation penalty fee currently \$29 and not change to the amount for the safe harbor for a subsequent violation penalty fee currently \$40. HOEPA: The CFPB increased the current total loan amount threshold from \$21,980 to \$22,052, and the current points and fees threshold from \$1,099 to \$1,103. ATR/QM: 1) For a loan: >= \$110,260, total points and fees (TPF) may not exceed 3 percent of the total loan amount 2) greater than \$65,156 but less than \$110,260, TPF may not exceed \$3,308 3) greater than \$22,052 but less than \$66,156, TPF may not exceed 5 percent of the total loan amount 4) greater than \$13,783 but less than \$22,052, TPF may not exceed \$1,103; and, 5) For a loan <\$13,783, TPF may not exceed 8 percent of the total loan amount.
Interagency - Appraisal Threshold Changes for Rural Property <i>and</i> 1-4 Residential	Moderate	84 FR 53579 10/8/19	10/9/19, except for evaluations on exempt rural properties & appraisal review, is 1/1/2020	Following the 12/2018 proposal, the final rule increases to \$400,000 (from \$250,000) the threshold at which appraisals are not required for 1-4 family residential real estate transactions; however, institutions must obtain an evaluation of the collateral that is consistent with safe and sound banking practices. Makes a conforming change to exempt transactions secured by residential property in rural areas that have been exempted pursuant to the EGRRCPA and requires evaluations for these exempt transactions. Also amends the agencies' appraisal regulations to require appraisals for federally related transactions be subject to appropriate review for compliance with the USPAP.



as of 1/31/21 - page 4 of 11

LAW/REGULATION	Impact	Rules Citation	Effective Date	Comment/Summary
FINAL RULES AND AS	SOCIATED	ACTIONS:		
FDIC, FRB, OCC, NCUA – Final Rule: Private Flood Insurance	Moderate	84 FR 4953 2/20/19	7/1/2019	Requires FIs to accept policies that meet the statutory definition of "private flood insurance" in the Biggert Waters Act. Provides a compliance aid (statement language) to help FIs evaluate whether a policy meets the definition of "private flood insurance" without further review of the policy; however, this provision does not relieve an institution of the requirement to accept a policy that both meets the definition of "private flood insurance" and fulfills the flood insurance coverage requirement, even if the policy does not include the statement. The final rule permits FIs to accept flood insurance policies issued by private insurers that do not meet the statutory and regulatory definition of "private flood insurance" if four criteria are met: 1) the policy provides coverage in the amount required by the flood insurance purchase requirement; 2) the issuer is approved to engage in the business of insurance by the insurance regulator of the State or jurisdiction in which the property to be insured is located; 3) the policy covers both the mortgagor(s) and the mortgagee(s) as loss payees, except in the case of a policy that is provided by a condominium association, cooperative, etc., for which the premium is paid by the applicable group as a common expense, and 4) the policy provides sufficient protection of the designated loan, consistent with safety and soundness principles, and the FI documents its conclusion regarding sufficiency of the protection of the loan in writing. The rule also provides that FIs may accept a plan issued by a mutual aid society in satisfaction of the flood insurance purchase requirement if certain criteria are met. Special note: On 11/23/20, HUD Proposed Rule to Permit Use of Private Flood Insurance Policies with FHA Loans (comments due 1/22/21).
CFPB – Payday Loans, Vehicle Title and Certain High Cost Installment Loans (Deposit Advance Products and longer-term loans with balloon payments) Moderate	82 FR 54472 11/17/17	Eff 1/16/18 Mandatory compliance for payment provisions is 8/19/19* Eff Mandatory compliance for underwriting (ATR) Rescinded	Finalizes the <u>proposed rule</u> which governs banks, credit unions, nonbanks, and their service providers. Open-end and closed-end covered loans are (1) short-term loans (≤45-days) and (2) longer-term balloon-payment loans (defined as payment that is twice as large as any other payment). Certain provisions apply to a third type of loan, with terms >45-days where the cost of credit exceeds 36% APR <i>and</i> have a leveraged payments mechanism where the lender can initiate transfers from the consumer's account on its own. Prohibits lenders from attempting to withdraw payment from a consumer's account after its second consecutive attempt has failed for insufficient funds. Also imposes new disclosure requirements. *The CFPB notes in its Compliance Guide that: "The compliance date is currently stayed pursuant to a court order issued in Community Financial Services Association v. CFPB, No. 1:18-cv-00295 (W.D. Tex. Nov. 6, 2018). As a result, lenders have no obligation to comply with the Rule until the court-ordered stay is lifted." On 5/15/20, the stay was continued through at least 9/11/20. On 8/11/20 the Bureau issued and updated several FAQs about the Small Dollar Lending Rule. As of 12/18/20 the briefing on the parties' cross-motions for summary judgment has now closed. The court has not indicated whether it will hold oral argument on the motions.	
		Final Rule 85 FR 44382 7/22/20	Effective 10/20/20	Following its 2/14/19 proposal to rescind/revisit underwriting requirements and its 6/17/2019 final rule to extend the compliance date for the mandatory underwriting (ATR) provisions to November 19, 2020 the Bureau has issued a final rule revoking the ATR provisions of those regulations that: Provide that it is an unfair and abusive practice for a lender to make a covered short-term or longer-term balloon-payment loan, including payday and vehicle title loans, without reasonably determining that consumers have the ability to repay those loans according to their terms; prescribe mandatory underwriting requirements for making the ability-to-repay determination, and; exempt certain loans from the mandatory underwriting requirements. NOTE: This Rule does change the requirements and limitations on attempts to withdraw payments from a consumer's account (Payment Provisions).
CFPB - Application of Certain Provisions in the TRID Rule and TILA's Right of Rescission Rules in Light of the COVID-19 Pandemic	Minor	Interpretive Rule <u>85 FR 26319</u> <u>5/4/20</u>	Effective 5/4/20	The CFPB issued this interpretive rule clarifying the application of certain provisions in the TILA-RESPA Integrated Disclosure (TRID) Rule and Regulation Z's right of rescission rules in light of the COVID-19 pandemic. The CFPB concluded that if a consumer determines that their need to obtain funds due to the COVID-19 pandemic necessitates consummating the transaction before the end of the TRID Rule waiting periods or must be met before the end of the Rescission Rules waiting period, then the consumer has a bona fide personal financial emergency. The CFBP also concluded that the COVID-19 pandemic is a "changed circumstance" for purposes of certain TRID Rule provisions (including revised estimates in good faith). Interpretive rules do not impose new or change existing substantive requirements; rather, it interprets the law and regulations already set forth.



as of 1/31/21 - page 5 of 11

LAW/REGULATION	Impact	Rules Citation	Effective Date	Comment/Summary
FINAL RULES AND AS	SOCIATED	ACTIONS:		
OCC Finalizes New Regulatory Framework for CRA	OCC Finalizes New Regulatory Framework for	85 FR 34734 6/5/20	Effective 10/1/20 with staggered mandatory effective dates of 10/1/20, 1/1/23, & 1/1/24	This rule finalizes the OCC/FDIC 1/9/20 proposed rule (the FDIC did <i>not</i> join in this final rule). The rule raises the asset threshold for small and intermediate banks that continue to be evaluated under the current small and intermediate bank performance standards (unless they opt into the new general performance standards) to, respectively, \$600 million and \$2.5 billion. The rule also (1) clarifies the activities that qualify for CRA credit, includes non-exhaustive lists of qualifying activities (to be updated annually), and sets forth a process for banks to confirm qualifying activities; (2) preserves facility-based assessment areas, but also requires institutions to delineate deposit-based assessment areas where they have significant concentrations of retail domestic deposits (recognizing the evolution of internet banking); (3) establishes an evaluation method that assesses a bank's retail lending and CD activities by considering: (a) the distribution of retail lending activities relative to LMI populations and LMI census tracts in assessment areas; and (b) the impact of all CRA activity, measured in dollars (quantification). The rule also provides for consideration of the qualitative aspects of CRA activities by including an assessment of a bank's performance context using performance context factors that are based on the factors in the current regulation and on input from examiners; and (4) provides for more transparent, consistent, and timely CRA-related data collection. Banks of all sizes will be subject to enhanced data collection, reporting requirements, recordkeeping (dependent on size and type of PE) as subject to new Subpart E and reporting (small banks would have to collect certain data, but not report it). — Banks subject to the general performance standards or subject to the wholesale and limited purpose bank performance standards must comply with the new CRA framework by 1/1/23. Banks subject to the small and intermediate bank performance standards must comply with the new CRA framework by 1/1
		Proposed 85 FR 78258 12/4/20	Comments due 2/2/21	In the 2020 final rule, the OCC finalized the framework for the general performance standards (i.e., the CRA evaluation measure, retail lending distribution tests, community development (CD) minimums, and the percentage of assessment areas for which a bank must receive a satisfactory or outstanding assigned rating to achieve a bank presumptive rating of satisfactory or outstanding); however, the OCC decided not to adopt the specific CRA evaluation measure benchmarks, retail lending distribution test thresholds, and CD minimums as initially proposed. The OCC now requests comment on its proposed approach to determine the CRA evaluation measure benchmarks, retail lending distribution test thresholds, and CD minimums under the general performance standards. The proposal further explains how the OCC would assess significant declines (proposed as 10% or more) in CRA activities levels in connection with performance context following the initial establishment of the benchmarks, thresholds, and minimums (such FIs could risk having assigned ratings adversely impacted). The OCC also seeks comment on revisions to aspects of the 2020 rule, including compliance dates, some definitions, multipliers, the general performance standards opt out, and the aggregate disclosure statement. Finally, the proposed rule would make clarifying and technical amendments to the 2020 rule.
FRB amends Reg D to delete limits on certain kinds of transfers and withdrawals that may be made each month from "savings deposits."	Minor	85 FR 23445 4/28/20	Effective 4/24/20	Prior to this interim final rule, Reg D limited the number of certain convenient kinds of transfers or withdrawals that an account holder may make from a "savings deposit" to not more than six per month (six transfer limit); and similarly, also imposed requirements on depository institutions for either preventing transfers in excess of six transfer limit or for monitoring such accounts ex post for violations of the limit. This interim final rule deletes the six transfer limit from the "savings deposit" definition thus eliminating the requirement that depository institutions prevent transfers and withdrawals in excess of the limit or to monitor savings deposits ex post for violations of the limit. The rule includes 10 FAQs including one which suggests that if a deposit institution decides to no longer enforce the six transfer limit it should amend its account agreements, but the final rule does not specify any particular manner when doing so.



as of 1/31/21 - page 6 of 11

LAW/REGULATION	Impact	Rules Citation	Effective Date	Comment/Summary
FINAL RULES AND AS	SOCIATED	ACTIONS:		
Remittance Transfers Under the EFTA	Moderate	85 FR 34870 6/5/20	Effective 07/21/20	As proposed in its December 2019 Proposed Rule, this Final Rule (Regulation E, Subpart B): (1) Adopts a permanent exception to permit insured institutions to estimate the exchange rate for a remittance transfer to a particular country if, among other things, the designated recipient will receive funds in the country's local currency and the insured institution made 1,000 or fewer such remittance transfers in the prior calendar year to that country; (2) Adopts a permanent exception permitting insured institutions to estimate covered third-party fees for a remittance transfer to a particular designated recipient's institution if, among other things, the insured institution made 500 or fewer remittance transfers to that designated recipient's institution in the prior calendar year, and; (3) Increases the safe harbor threshold of a remittance transfer provider to ≤ 500 (from ≤ 100) transfers in the previous and current calendar year. With respect to all exceptions (#1-#3), the Bureau is adopting a transition period of six months for insured institutions that exceed, as applicable, the thresholds in a certain year. If a person who previously provided remittance transfers in the normal course of its business in excess of the previous safe harbor threshold of ≤ 100 determines that, as of a particular date, it will qualify for the safe harbor, it may cease complying with the requirements of Subpart B with respect to any remittance transfers for which payment is made after that date. Additionally, the Bureau released a statement on April 10, 2020 announcing that in light of the COVID-19 pandemic, for remittance transfers that occur on or after July 21, 2020, and before January 1, 2021, the Bureau does not intend to cite in an examination or initiate an enforcement action in connection with the disclosure of exact third-party fees and exchange rates against any insured institution that will be newly required to disclose exact third-party fees and exchange rates after the temporary exception expires.
HUD - Implementation of the Fair Housing Act's Disparate Impact Standard	Moderate	85 FR 60288 9/24/20	Effective 10/26/20	This rule amends HUD's interpretation of the FHA's disparate impact standard to reflect the Supreme Court's 2015 ruling in <i>Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs v. Inclusive Communities Project, Inc.</i> This rule largely follows an August 2019, Proposal, which solicited comments on the disparate impact standard set forth in HUD's 2013 final rule. HUD's current rule basically requires the defendant to prove that a practice is necessary to meet a legitimate and legal objective. The proposed rule would replace HUD's current discriminatory effects standard and would establish five key limitations, placing the burden onto the plaintiff in discriminatory impact claims to establish all of the following: (1) the challenged policy or practice is arbitrary, artificial, and unnecessary to achieve a legitimate objective; (2) the alleged disparity has a <i>disproportionally</i> (this word added in final rule) adverse effect on members of a protected class; (3) there is a robust direct 'causal link' (clarified in final rule to mean the policy or practice is the direct cause of the discriminatory effect) between the challenged policy or practice and the adverse effect on members of a protected class; (4) the alleged disparity caused by the policy or practice is significant; and, (5) there is a direct link between the injury asserted and the injurious conduct alleged. The final rule removes direct language from the proposal that discussed approaches of defense when claim is made based on the use of a "model such as a risk assessment algorithm" including relief from liability if using a model that is an "industry standard," instead adding reference allowing defendants to demonstrate the challenged practice or policy was intended to predict the occurrence of an outcome among other details and there was not a less discriminatory option (without materially greater costs or burdens).
CFPB - Mortgage Loan Loss Mitigation Under RESPA, based on COVID- 19	Moderate	Interim Final Rule <u>85 FR 39055</u> <u>6/30/20</u>	Effective 7/1/20 Comments due 8/14/20	The CFPB issued this IFR to amend RESPA's Reg X to temporarily permit mortgage servicers to offer certain loss mitigation options based on the evaluation of an incomplete loss mitigation application. Eligible loss mitigation options, among other things, must permit borrowers to delay paying certain amounts until the mortgage loan is refinanced, the property is sold, the loan ends, or, for a mortgage insured by the FHA, the mortgage insurance terminates. These amounts include, without limitation, all P&I payments forborne through payment forbearance programs made available to borrowers experiencing financial hardships due, directly or indirectly, to the COVID-19 emergency, including a payment forbearance program offered pursuant to section 4022 of the CARES Act. These amounts also include P&I payments that are due and unpaid by borrowers experiencing financial hardships due, directly, or indirectly, to the COVID-19 emergency. Additionally, for eligibility, any amounts that the borrower may delay paying through the loss mitigation on a accrue interest; the servicer may not charge any fee in connection with the loss mitigation option; and the servicer will waive all existing late charges, penalties, stop payment fees, or similar charges promptly upon the borrower's acceptance of the loss mitigation option; and, the borrower's acceptance of the loss mitigation option; and, the borrower's acceptance of the loss mitigation option; and, the borrower's acceptance of the loss mitigation option offered pursuant to the new exception; specifically, the servicer is not to comply with the Reg X section 1024.41(b)(1) or (2) requirements regarding the completion of a loss mitigation application and sending acknowledgment letters. However, per the Bureaus analysis commentary to the IFR, if a borrower who accepts a loss mitigation option offered pursuant to the temporary exception later submits a new loss mitigation application, the servicer must comply with the Reg X section 1024.41(b)(1) and (2) requirements.



as of 1/31/21 - page 7 of 11

LAW/REGULATION	Impact	Rules Citation	Effective Date	Comment/Summary
FINAL RULES AND A	SSOCIATED	ACTIONS:		
		85 FR 67938 10/26/20	Effective 12/28/20	This final rule (as proposed) amends Reg Z to replace the January 10, 2021 sunset date of the Temporary GSE QM loan definition with a provision stating that the Temporary GSE QM loan definition will be available only for covered transactions for which the creditor receives the consumer's application before the mandatory compliance date of final amendments to the General QM loan definition in Regulation Z. This final rule does not amend the provision stating that the Temporary GSE QM loan definition expires with respect to a GSE when that GSE exits conservatorship (the conservatorship clause).
CFPB – extension of the qualified mortgage (QM) provision known as the GSE Patch and amendment to the General QM loan definition	Moderate	85 FR 86308 12/29/20	Effective 3/1/21 Mandatory 7/1/21	This General QM Final Rule replaces the current requirement for General QM loans that the consumer's debt-to-income ratio (DTI) not exceed 43% with a limit based on the loan's pricing. Another current category of mortgage loan afforded QM status are loans that meet the standards of the Government Sponsored Enterprises (GSEs). Most mortgage loans are QMs pursuant to this provision, also known as "the Patch." However, the Patch (as well as existing General QM) will expire on 7/1/21. Under this Rule, a loan will continue to receive a conclusive presumption of ability to repay (ATR) if the APR is less than average prime offer rate (APOR) for a comparable transaction by 1.5 (first lien) or 3.5 (junior lien) percentage points as of the date the interest rate is set. A loan receives a rebuttable presumption for ATR if the APR exceeds the APOR for a comparable transaction by 1.5 percentage points but by less than 2.25 percentage points. Safe harbor or rebuttable presumption aside, the new General QM requires that the APR on the loan may not exceed APOR for a comparable transaction by: for a first lien transaction of \$10,260 or more, 2.25 or more % points; for a first lien transaction of \$10,260 or more, 2.25 or more % points; for a first lien transaction of \$10,260, 3.5 or more % points; for a first lien transaction of \$66,156 or more % points; for a first lien transaction of \$66,156 or more % points; for a first lien transaction of \$66,156 or more % points; for a first lien transaction of less than \$60,156, 6.5 or more % points; for a first lien transaction of less than \$60,156, 6.5 or more % points; for a first lien transaction of less than \$60,156, 6.5 or more % points; for a first lien transaction of less than \$110,260, 6.5 or more % points; for a first lien transaction of less than \$10,060, 6.5 or more % points; for a first lien transaction of less than \$10,060, 6.5 or more % points; for a first lien transaction of less than \$10,060, 6.5 or more % points; for a first lien transaction of less than \$10,060, 6.5 or m



as of 1/31/21 - page 8 of 11

LAW/REGULATION	Impact	Rules Citation	Effective Date	Comment/Summary
FINAL RULES AND AS	SSOCIATED	ACTIONS:		
CFPB - A new category of seasoned qualified mortgages	Moderate	85 FR 86402 12/29/20	Effective 3/1/21	Following the August 2020 proposal, this final rule is issued largely as proposed; however, the final rule differs from the proposal by adding a new exception to the portfolio requirement that allows loans to be transferred once during the seasoning period, excluding high-cost mortgages as defined in 12 CFR 1026.32(a), and applying the same consider and verify requirements that will apply to General QM loans. The rule defines Seasoned QMs as first-lien, fixed-rate transactions (regular, substantially equal periodic payments that are fully amortizing, no balloon payments, and \$30 years) that have met certain performance requirements over a seasoning period of at least 36 months, are held in portfolio until the end of the seasoning period by the originating creditor or first purchaser, comply with general restrictions on product features and points and fees, and meet certain underwriting requirements. To be considered seasoned, the covered transaction must have no more than two delinquencies of 30 or more days and no delinquencies of 60 or more days at the end of the seasoning period, with some exceptions. Creditors can, however, generally accept deficient payments, within a payment tolerance of \$50, on up to three occasions during the seasoning period without triggering a delinquency for purposes of this final rule. Failure to make full contractual payments does not disqualify a loan from eligibility to become a Seasoned QM if the consumer is in a temporary payment accommodation extended in connection with a disaster or pandemic-related national emergency, if certain conditions are met. However, time spent in such a temporary accommodation does not count towards the 36-month seasoning period, and the seasoning period can only resume after the temporary accommodation if any delinquency is cured either pursuant to the loan's original terms or through a qualifying change as defined in this final rule. The final rule defines a qualifying change as an agreement entered into during or after a temporary payment accommo
OCC - Issues rule on fair access to financial services	Impact limited to Bank's >100 billion	Proposed 85 FR 75261 11/25/20 Final Pending Publication in the FR	Comments due 1/4/21 ended Effective 4/1/21	Shortly following the proposal and comment period ending 1/4/21, the OCC issued this final rule as proposed, with the following changes: 1) clarification that bank can decline to provide a person with access to a financial service if doing so is necessary to comply with another provision of law, such as laws on credit, capital, liquidity, and interest rate risk, and 2) eliminates the proposed criterion that a bank could not deny any person a financial service offered when the denial's effect would be to prevent, limit, or otherwise disadvantage the person from entering or competing in a market or business segment, or in a way that benefitted another person or business activity in which the bank had a financial interest. The following remains unchanged from the proposal: As finalized, a covered bank (>\$100 billion total assets) shall (1) make each financial service it offers available to all persons in the geographic market served on proportionally equal terms; (2) not deny any person except to the extent justified by such person's quantified and documented failure to meet quantitative, risk-based standards established in advance; and (3) not deny, in coordination with others, any person a financial service the covered bank offers. On 1/28/21 the OCC announced it is pausing publication of the rule in the FR to "allow the next confirmed Comptroller of the Currency to review the final rule and the public comments the OCC received, as part of an orderly transition."



as of 1/31/21 - page 9 of 11

PROPOSED RULES &	GUIDANCE	(not associ	ated with a Fi	nal Rule):
CFPB Issues LIBOR Transition Proposed Rule (Reg Z)	Moderate	Proposed FR 85 36938 6/18/20	Comment due 8/4/20	LIBOR is expected to be discontinued after 2021. The Bureau proposes changes to open and closed-end provisions to provide examples of replacement indices for LIBOR indices that meet certain Reg Z standards. The proposal addresses four substantial issues for open-end credit: (1) proposing to permit creditors for HELOCs and card issuers to transition existing accounts that use LIBOR to a replacement index on or after 3/15/21 (before LIBOR becomes unavailable), if certain conditions are met such as the LIBOR index and the replacement index value (w/ margin) in effect on 12/31/20 will produce an APR substantially similar to the rate calculated using LIBOR in effect on 12/31/20 that applied to the variable rate immediately prior to the replacement of the LIBOR index used under the plan; (2) proposes to determine that Prime and certain spread-adjusted indices based on SOFR recommended by the ARRC have historical fluctuations that are substantially similar to those of certain USD LIBOR indices; (3) for HELOCs and credit cards a creditor must provide a change-in-terms notice disclosing the replacement index for LIBOR and any adjusted margin that is permitted under even if the margin is reduced. Prior to 10/1/21, a creditor has the option of disclosing a reduced margin in the change-in-terms notice that discloses the replacement index for LIBOR as permitted; and (4) proposes to add an exception from the rate reevaluation provisions applicable to credit card accounts, if the new index and margin results in a rate increase. — The proposal addresses one substantial issue for closed end credit which is to add an illustrative example to identify the SOFR-based spread-adjusted replacement indices recommended by the ARRC as an example of a "comparable index" for the LIBOR indices that they are intended to replace. The CFPB proposes that the final rule take effect on 3/15/21, except for the updated change-in-term disclosure requirements for HELOCs and credit cards that would apply as of 10/1/21. In addition to this proposed rul
OCC, FDIC Propose New Regulatory Framework for CRA	Moderate, positive	Proposed 85 FR 1204 1/9/20 Extension of comment period 85 FR 10996 2/26/20	Comments due 3/9/20 4/8/20	Following the OCC's 9/2018 ANPR, the OCC and FDIC propose regulations to encourage banks to provide billions more in annual CRA-qualified lending, investment, and services by modernizing the CRA regulations. The proposed rule would (1) clarify the activities that qualify for CRA credit, periodically publish non-exhaustive lists of qualifying activities, and set forth a process for banks to confirm qualifying activities; (2) update the location of activities that would count for CRA credit by establishing non-overlapping "facility-based" and "deposit-based" assessment areas (recognizing the evolution of internet banking); (3) create a more transparent and objective method for measuring CRA performance — by keeping different performance standards for banks of different sizes, measuring both the share of retail lending to low-to-moderate-income individuals and areas, as well as the impact of that activity, and adding a strategic plan option. Small banks (≤\$500 million), could continue to be evaluated by the current small bank test or opt in to the new performance standards; and (4) provide for more transparent, consistent, and timely CRA-related data collection, recordkeeping, and reporting (small banks would have to collect certain data, but not report it). Comment deadline extended to 4/8/2020. On 6/5/20 the OCC issued a final rule (see summary in Final Rules section above), however the FDIC did not join the final rule and will instead issue additional information at a later date.



as of 1/31/21 - page 10 of 11

LAW/REGULATION	Impact	Rules Citation	Effective Date	Comment/Summary
PROPOSED RULES &	GUIDANCE	(not associ	ated with a Fi	nal Rule):
OCC - Issues FAQs on Third-Party Risk Management	NA	OCC Bulletin FL 2020-10 3/5/20	NA	Supplements OCC Bulletin 2013-29, "Third-Party Relationships: Risk Management Guidance," issued October 30, 2013, to clarify and reflect evolving industry trends. Rescinds CC Bulletin 2017-21, "Third-Party Relationships: FAQs to Supplement OCC Bulletin 2013-29," issued on June 7, 2017, but incorporates all its FAQs (unchanged except for question No. 24, which was updated to reflect current AICPA Service Organization Control report information). Adds 13 FAQs for a total of 27, that provide clarity on topics such as cloud computing relationships, subcontractor relationships, risk categorizing, and more.
FRB solicits comments to modernizing the CRA regulatory and supervisory framework	Moderate, positive	ANPR 85 FR 66410 10/19/20	Comments due 2/16/21	The FRB invites comments though an ANPR to assist the Board in refining CRA modernization proposals to: Strengthen CRA's core purpose of meeting the wide range of LMI banking needs and addressing inequities in financial services and credit access; Address changes in the banking industry; Promote financial inclusion by including special provisions for activities in Indian Country and underserved areas, and for investments in Minority Depository Institutions and Community Development Financial Institutions; Bring greater clarity, consistency, and transparency to performance evaluations that are tailored to local conditions; Tailor performance tests and assessments to account for differences in bank sizes and business models; Clarify and expand eligible CRA activities focused on LMI communities; Minimize data burden and tailor data collection and reporting requirements; Recognize the special circumstances of small banks in rural areas; and, Create a consistent regulatory approach.
CFPB - RFI on the ECOA and Reg. B	TBD	RFI 85 FR 46600 8/3/20	Comments due 10/2/20 Extended to 12/1/20	Seeks comments to identify opportunities to prevent credit discrimination, encourage responsible innovation, promote fair, equitable, and nondiscriminatory access to credit, address potential regulatory uncertainty, and develop viable solutions to compliance challenges under the ECOA and Reg B. In particular the Bureau seeks comment on Reg B's interpretation of disparate impact, use of limited language proficiency (LEP) products or services, use of special purpose credit programs, affirmative advertising to disadvantaged groups, artificial intelligence/machine learning, and other topics. On 12/21/20 the Bureau issued an advisory opinion to address regulatory uncertainty in Reg B as it applies to certain aspects of special purpose credit programs (SPCPs). Through stakeholder feedback received in response to the Bureau's RFI, the Bureau learned that stakeholders sought such additional guidance to help them develop compliant SPCPs. On 1/13/21 the Bureau published a Statement Regarding the Provision of Financial Products and Services to Consumers with Limited English Proficiency (LEP). The Statement is divided into two sections: one contains "guiding principles for serving LEP consumers" and the second contains "guidelines for developing compliance solutions when serving LEP consumers." Key considerations relate to language, product, and service selection, language preference collection and tracking, and translated documents.
DOD - amends its interpretive rule for the Military Lending Act	Moderate but isolated	Interpretive Rule <u>85 FR 11842</u> <u>2/28/20</u>	Effective 2/28/20	DOD reverts back to the original Q&A #2 published in August/2016 with respect to when credit is extended for the purchase of a motor vehicle or personal property where the creditor extends credit in an amount greater than the purchase price. DOD received concerns that its December/2017 interpretation prohibits creditors from taking a security interest in the property, which would limit credit. Confirms that Individual Taxpayer Identification Numbers (ITIN) to identify covered borrowers in the DOD database is the same as using a SSN in qualifying for the MLA safe harbor provision.
CFPB Issues ANPR to solicit information relating to PACE financing	Moderate, but isolated	Proposed <u>84 FR 8479</u> <u>3/8/19</u>	Comment due 5/7/19	Solicits information pursuant to EGRRCPA §307 on residential Property Assessed Clean Energy (PACE) financing, which must fulfill the purposes of TILA's ability-to-repay (ATR) requirements for residential mortgage loans, and apply TILA's civil liability provision for ATR violations for PACE financing. Solicits information to better understand the PACE financing market and the unique nature of PACE financing as it relates to the following categories: (1) Written materials (current samples) associated with PACE transactions; (2) current standards and practices in the PACE origination process; (3) civil liability under TILA for ATR violations in connection with PACE financing, as well as rescission, borrower delinquency and default; (4) PACE financing features that are unique and how they can be addressed; and (5) potential implications of regulating PACE financing under TILA. PACE financing is defined as "financing to cover the costs of home improvements that results in a tax assessment on the real property of the consumer."



as of 1/31/21 - page 11 of 11

LAW/REGULATION	Impact	Rules Citation	Effective Date	Comment/Summary
PROPOSED RULES &	GUIDANCE	(not associa	ated with a Fi	nal Rule):
Interagency ANPR to Revise and Expand Q&As Regarding Flood Insurance	Minor	ANPR 85 FR 40442 7/6/20 85 FR 54946 9/3/20	Comments due 9/4/20 Extended to 11/3/20	The OCC, Board, FDIC, FCA, and NCUA propose to reorganize, revise, and expand the Interagency Q&As regarding flood insurance and solicits comments. The Agencies have prepared proposed new and revised guidance addressing the most frequently asked questions and answers about flood insurance. Significant topics addressed by the proposed revisions include the effect of major amendments to flood insurance laws with regard to the escrow of flood insurance premiums, the detached structure exemption, and force-placement procedures. The agencies announced they will issue separately for notice and comment another set of proposed Q&As relating to the private flood insurance rule adopted by the agencies in 2019, however the current proposed Q&As address some areas related to private flood insurance.
CFPB - ECOA Business Lending Data, Reg B	Major	RFI 82 FR 22318, 5/5/17 RIN: 3170- AA09	Comment period ended 7/14/17 Extended to 9/14/17	DFA §1071 requires financial institutions to compile, maintain, and report information on credit applications made by women-owned, minority-owned, and small businesses, such as the race, sex, and ethnicity of the principal business owners. RFI sought comment on the types of credit products offered, the types of data currently collected by lenders in this market, and the potential complexity, cost of, and privacy issues related to, small business data collection. The CFPB took another step towards issuing regulations to implement Section 1071 by releasing the 12/14/20 final report of the small business review panel (Panel) on the proposals the Bureau is considering for developing such regulations.
CFPB — Notable items in the rule making agenda	TBD	Rule Making Agenda Fall 2020 Reginfo.gov Agency Rule List	Various	Most notably in the <u>preamble</u> and agenda, the Bureau indicates: (1) it took a major step towards implementing section 1071 of the DFA on 9/15/20, releasing an <u>outline of regulatory proposals under consideration and alternatives considered</u> in advance of convening a SBREFA panel.); (2) it anticipates publishing two NPRMs in early 2021 regarding proposed rules to govern the collection of HMDA data points and the disclosure of those data, so that the two issues can be considered concurrently; (3) it is participating in the interagency rulemaking processes to develop regulations to implement the amendments made by the DFA that require implementing regulations for quality control standards for automated valuation models (AVMs); and (4) it anticipates issuing an NPRM in spring 2021 to consider possible amendments to its mortgage servicing rules to address actions required of servicers working with borrowers affected by natural disasters or other emergencies.
FDIC - requests input on modernizing its official sign and advertising rules	TBD	RFI 85 FR 10997 2/26/20 85 FR 14678 3/13/20	Comment due 3/19/20 Extended to 4/20/20	Seeks input on modernizing the official sign and advertising rules (12 C.F.R. Part 328) to reflect that deposit-taking via physical branch, digital, and mobile banking channels continues to evolve. Also seeks information on misrepresentations, intentional or not, concerning deposit insurance, and how new technologies or other solutions could help consumers better distinguish FDIC-insured from nonbank entities across digital channels. The FDIC extended the comment period on its RFI on modernizing the agency's signage and advertising requirements scheduled to end 3/19 through 4/20/2020.